

**City of Glenns Ferry City Council Meeting June 08, 2021**

The regular City Council meeting of the City of Glenns Ferry was opened and called to order at 7:00 pm on Tuesday, June 08, 2021, by Monty White, Mayor.

Members Present: Susan Case, Dani Martinez, Kenny Thompson, Mayor Monty White

Staff Present: Donn Carnahan, Derik Janousek, Teresa Parsons, Geoff Schroeder, Jennifer Trail

Others: Steve Burnett, Stephanie Bonny, Allen Chafin, Brenda Chafin, Blake Chafin, Dustin Fink, Julie Fink, Cody Fisher, Madi Fink, LeaBeth Hance, Johnny Hernandez, Wren Hernandez, Kyan Jackson, Monica Janousek, Brian Mattingly, Ramona Morrison, Adam Morrison, Melinda Sterling, Justin Sterling, Kloe Sterling, Bryce Sterling, Karlee Sterling, Sammy White

**To observe appropriate social distancing guidelines, as recommended by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the public joined the City Council Meeting remotely from their computer, tablet, or smartphone.**

**Public was invited to join from their computer, tablet, or smartphone.**

<https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/389191373>

**Or by phone.**

United States: **+1 (571) 317-3122**

**Access Code: 389-191-373**

**Or by app: New to GoToMeeting**

<https://global.gotomeeting.com/install/389191373>

**Item 1. OPEN MEETING/ROLL CALL:**

McFarland: Roll call.

Susan Case     Dani Martinez     Ken Thompson  
 Billy Galloska     Mayor Monty White

**\*\*\*LET RECORD SHOW BILLY GALLOSKA WAS ABSENT \*\*\***

**Item 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:**

**Item 3. MOTION TO: [ACTION ITEM]: Adopt Agenda:**

**Case:** I'll make that motion to adopt the agenda.

**Martinez:** Second

**Mayor White:** All in favor, all-ayes.

**Item 4. PUBLIC COMMENTS: Please Sign in to Speak: For information purposes only on items not placed on the agenda. No action or decision can be made on public comments. Comments are limited to 3 minutes. \*\*\*SEE BELOW\*\*\***

**Item 5. MOTION TO: [ACTION ITEM] Consent Agenda:**

A. **City Council Meeting Minutes for May 25, 2021:**

B. **Accounts Payables for May 2021:**

C. **Payroll for May 2021**

**Case:** I'll make the motion to accept the consent agenda.

**Martinez:** Second

**Mayor White:** All in favor, all-ayes.

**Item 6. ITEMS MOVED FROM CONSENT AGENDA FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION:**

**Item 7. DISCUSSION/MOTION: [ACTION ITEM]** Mayor White: Recommendation of Appointment of Public Works Director. Confirmation by City Council. **(ROLL CALL VOTE)**

**Mayor White:** As you know Scott is acting right now. So, my recommendation right now is I am nominating Scott for the public works director, and it needs to be confirmed by the city council.

**Thompson:** I make a motion we confirm Scott Nichols as the new public works director.

**Case:** I'll second.

**(ROLL CALL)**

**Case:** Aye

**Martinez:** Aye

**Thompson:** Aye

**Item 8. DISCUSSION/MOTION: [ACTION ITEM]** Mayor White: Proclamation in Honor of the Glenns Ferry High School Lady Pilots Softball Team.

**Mayor White:** The next item on our agenda, we've moved the agenda around a little bit.

**Case:** City of Glenns Ferry the office of the mayor, A Proclamation in Honoring of the Glenns Ferry High School Lad Pilots Softball Team. Whereas, the hard work, dedication, sportsmanship, talent and exceptional team chemistry of the 2021 Glenns Ferry High School Lady Pilots Softball Team has enabled these student athletes to earn an A1 State Championship Title; and Whereas, winning the 2021 A1 State Championship game on May 22, 2021, brought honor to Glenns Ferry Schools, the City of Glenns Ferry, Elmore County, Idaho, on the local, state and national level; and Whereas, head coach Frank Case and the entire coaching staff, team member parents, faculty and student body at Glenns Ferry Schools were integral in guiding the team to victory through their unwavering support; and Now Therefore, I, Monty White, Mayor of the City of Glenns Ferry, Elmore County, Idaho, do hereby recognize and heartily congratulate to the Glenns Ferry Lady Pilots Softball Team for their tremendous achievement in winning the 2021 A1 State Championship Title. Dated this 8<sup>th</sup> day in June 2021.

**Mayor White:** If you guys want, you can come up here. I'm going to sign the proclamation. Now we have the wrestling team.

**Case:** City of Glenns Ferry the office of the mayor, A Proclamation in Honor of the Glenns Ferry Schools Pilots Wrestling Team. Whereas, the hard work, dedication, sportsmanship, talent and exceptional team, chemistry of the 2021 Glenns Ferry School Pilots Wrestling Team has enables these student athletes to earn spots in District and State Tournaments; and Whereas, efforts in District and State Tournaments, have brought honor to Glenns Ferry Schools, the City of Glenns Ferry, Elmore County, Idaho, on the local, state and national level; and Whereas, Head Coach Cody Fisher and the entire coaching staff, team member parents, faculty and student body at Glenns Ferry Schools were integral in guiding the team to victory through their unwavering support; and Now Therefore, I, Monty R. White, Mayor of the City of Glenns Ferry, Elmore County, Idaho, do hereby recognize and heartily congratulate to the Glenns Ferry Pilot Wrestling Team for their tremendous achievements and efforts in District and State Tournaments. Dated this 8<sup>th</sup> day in June 2021.

**Mayor White:** We'll do the same thing, I you want to stand behind me, I'll sign the proclamation.

**Item 9. DISCUSSION/MOTION: [ACTION ITEM]** Tabled from 05/25/2021 Meeting: Brian Mattingly: Clearsource IT New Proposal.

**Mattingly:** I've been doing IT support for the city for a few years. I think we've come a long way in doing a better job of securing during the network and keeping the systems up and running. I am making some changes to my business model. For selfish reasons first, I am trying to simplify my business model, I want to try to focus more on IT specific things and not administration, sales, and marketing and things like that. I am a small business owner. I am a solo operator at this point, and I'd like to keep it like. More important to you is what does the city get out of this. Rather than what's important to me. For the city, I would like to try to streamline, to have consistent billing situation, a quarterly bill. It'd be the same every quarter. Less fluctuation so that would hopefully simplify things, make it budget able, consistent. On a technical side, I'd like to be more proactive and less reactive with scenarios like if someone might have a problem with their computer, the internet goes down or a battery back up fails, I'd have to be reactive in that situation, but to try to be more proactive taking steps to push the technology forward opposed to kind of waiting and saying, maybe they don't want to pay for this and things like that. A business model that I want to move to, proactive IT support. As well as I can continue to promise and deliver on my promises that I've made

to you folks. For me it's a business decision and I know for the city it's a business decision as well. More importantly, can I answer any questions you might have?

**Case:** Is this just strictly support, this isn't hardware, software, upgrades this is just support?

**Mattingly:** I want to try to keep it simple, I don't sell hardware to the city, I simply help steer them to what I consider to be the best option and I don't get a cut in the middle. I'm not a middleman, many IT businesses like myself would want to sell a product to the city and then take whatever margin on that. A long time ago I decided that wasn't what I was into doing, I just want to provide IT support. This is simply support. If there were purchases of any type of equipment, I'd help you figure it out, I'd help select it, and then I pass that to the city, and they can purchase that directly.

**Case:** Now I'm noticing that it is approximately a forty-seven percent increase over last year or previous year.

**Mattingly:** Ya, last couple years.

**Case:** That is where I want to know, I understand you're going to try to make things more efficient, you're going to be more available, I just want to be able to justify to anybody who asks, what the increase is for.

**Mattingly:** It's a little bit embarrassing to say, but the truth is, I don't bill enough.

**Case:** So, you under billed basically, in a sense?

**Mattingly:** Mainly, I'd like to react proactively, and I sometimes don't bill for it. I found that over the years that that has been bad business along the lines. The difference though is not like I left forty-seven percent on the table that's not the case, but I have been slightly negligent to myself in that sense. Also, it's a bit of a reaction to what I would say is a standard operation procedure for many IT companies. I've been a little bit of a dinosaur. I've had my head in the sand, I want to focus on the IT, not on my competition in the business. In some ways I'm playing catch up with some of the IT folks out there. Now the price definitely is a bit of a jump. My goal will be to improve that with quicker support, better coverage like if I was to not be available on weekends or things like that, I'd like to have twenty-four seven coverage for the city if they need it. Now, folks don't necessarily call out on the weekends, but I'd like to build my business where I'd like to hire some more employees, quite honestly. In order to do that, I'd like to have a consistent revenue stream and also better support that's more consistent for you.

**Case:** I will say that I'm being a little hard on you, the new proposal is really more in line with IT costs that I see, and this is my opinion, I think it's worth it then we find out next year then we hold your feet to the fire when the next contract comes along.

**Mattingly:** Every quarter. I want my services not contracts, I don't want you to sign a contract to pay me, I want my performance to stand up for itself. If I'm ever not providing the support that the city needs, or the water plant needs I would want people to bring that right to me and find someone new, sort of speak, because if I'm not getting it done, I would want you to find someone that does. But my goal is to not have that happen. It is a bit of a jump, I hope that I'm more in line with what normal competition is, but also so that I can become better and more consistent for the city as well.

**Mayor White:** Well, I want to jump in here and say that I endorse, and I hope that you would vote tonight to continue the contract with Clearsource. I've been working with Brian now and it's so much better than what we had. It certainly has taken my level of anxiety and what's going on out there away. Quite frankly, I think we had a company that took us for a ride. I'm not feeling that way here, I think all the staff that works for Brian is well satisfied.

**Case:** Only speaking for myself, I would like to see a one-year contract so we can hold him to these figures.

**Mattingly:** That's not a problem. I can do that.

**Case:** I would I'd like to see a one-year contract.

**Martinez:** I would.

**Mattingly:** Ya, that's fine.

**Thompson:** I kind of trust the guy so I don't really care. The only thing is how does thing affect our budget?

**Mayor White:** We're just gonna have to budget for it.

**Case:** With good IT support, cost and efficiency it balances out on the other end because when you have things not working, you're costing the city far more than what the numbers you're talking about.

**Thompson:** I wouldn't argue with that.

**Mattingly:** Just to be specific, just to lock in the rate is the main thing.

**Case:** And I would like some sort of delineation of what the services are. Pretty much just IT support, everything but hardware and software.

**Mattingly:** No problem.

**Mayor White:** And any contract whether you vote on it tonight, we'll have to have Geoff look through the contract.

**Mattingly:** Sure. I know an attorney, my wife, she'll type it up and get it to you. She likes to keep it simple.

**Mayor White:** Do we need to bring a draft before we type it up?

**Case:** I'm sure it's pretty basic, we can review it before the meeting as far as I'm concerned.

**Item 10. DISCUSSION/MOTION: [ACTION ITEM]** Pending Attorney Review from 05/25/2021 Meeting: Lot Split Application Cindy King/Steve Alderman: RPB 0049025001C.

**Mayor White:** I want to bring this back to the council that your guys pending review by the attorney. The attorney reviewed it were good.

**Case:** Good, thank you.

**Mayor White:** So, it's already down the road once the attorney agreed to it. But I just wanted to reaffirm that we did check with the attorney on it.

**Item 11. DISCUSSION/MOTION: [ACTION ITEM]** Set Public Hearing: Proposal to Amend the Official Zoning of the City Rezoning Certain Parcels of Property from Recreation (R-4) & Heavy Industrial (M-2) to Agriculture (A).

**Mayor White:** Planning and Zoning has had theirs, so we have to have a public hearing on it. What I brought this for is for you guys to pick a date and there's a certain time you have to do it right?

**Parsons:** Yes, the soonest public hearing that we can have would be July thirteenth, which was a regular council meeting, but that allows me to give them the legals by the twenty-third of and it has to run twice, or Lori has it ran twice because it's so far out. It only needs to run once. Then the letters would go out on the first to all of the property owners in a three hundred radius.

**Mayor White:** You guys want to have it just before the regular meeting like at six o'clock or just after the meeting or how do you want to do that?

**Case:** I won't be here, but I hope our phone service is going to be good at the lake, I'll try to call in.

**Schroeder:** You can do it during the regular...

**Parsons:** At seven o'clock?

**Schroeder:** Ya.

**Parsons:** It would just be the very first thing.

**Schroeder:** I can't stress enough so, you have your regular city council meeting, then you'd have a public hearing for the zoning, close the public hearing or the rest of the meeting wherever you want to put it in the city agenda, (inaudible not at mic) but it must be developed inside the city council agenda and that agenda goes (inaudible not at mic).

**Parsons:** I'm going to get with you.

You want to do it on July thirteenth?

**Thompson:** That works for me.

**Martinez:** That's fine. But would you rather put it in the paper for the 30<sup>th</sup> and the 7<sup>th</sup> so its closer to the hearing versus have it in the paper then three weeks, have it?

**Parsons:** Lori has it mapped out it has to be at least fifteen days prior. So, thirteen would be the 30<sup>th</sup> so I would have to put it in the 23<sup>rd</sup>.

**Martinez:** Ok.

**Parsons:** The green packet there is so that you guys can take it home. That will be what is for the public hearing.

**Item 12. DISCUSSION/MOTION: [ACTION ITEM]** ICRMP 2021-2022 Member Contribution Renewal Estimate.

**Mayor White:** ICRMP our estimated cost will be thirty-four thousand-seven thirty-one.

**Case:** Can you tell me what percent of a jump that is?

**Parsons:** Last year we paid thirty-three thousand seven hundred and nineteen dollars.

**Case:** Ok, thank you.

**Mayor White:** It sounds like a lot.

**Parsons:** So, we're looking at a thousand dollar or a little shy of a thousand-dollar increase.

**Case:** Do we need to approve renewing that or no? Does that take a vote or we're just renewing it.

**Mayor White:** No, you guys will at budget time. I just gave it to you for information so when you seen that amount on the budget you wouldn't say, whoa!

**Item 13. DISCUSSION/MOTION: [ACTION ITEM] Water/Sewer Infrastructure: Water/Sewer Bond.**

**Mayor White:** We're going to switch the agenda around just a little bit. We're going to go to **Item 13.**

**Schroeder:** I have on the phone Stephanie Bonny who is the bond council for out law firm MSBT Law and she is the expert on what it takes to do a bond on water and sewer infrastructure. Are there questions? All I saw was the general agenda.

**Mayor White:** The council, last meeting said you'd like to start exploring how to bond and what the process was. So, I had Geoff look at the process. I also have our engineer Donn here to talk about some of the water projects and things you may want to do, or the council may want to do in the future. I brought everyone together if you had questions. There seemed like there were questions from the council that I couldn't answer.

**Case:** Can Stephanie go over the process of what to expect, what we need to do, requirements to the city as far as public hearings or comments. Then we need to know the end impact if there's any way you can tell us how it impacts each taxpayer and property owner.

**Schroeder:** Stephanie, the question from Councilwomen Case was, just what the general process is in running a bond for water and sewer project.

**Bonny:** So, in order to do that, the city will need to adopt an ordinance. You're required to have specialized bond council. There's only three firms in the state that can do it. We have been working with the City of Glenns Ferry for quite a while. If I remember correctly the city does not have a combined system. So, if you wanted to do water and sewer, we would run two separate ballot questions on that. If I'm remembering that incorrectly and your system is combined then we can do that in one, but generally they're two separate enterprise bonds. So, the bond council adopts the ordinance and comes up with the ballot language for that. That would have to be adopted at the latest by September 13<sup>th</sup> at 5:00 and that's a Monday. So, obviously you'd want to adopt prior to that cause it needs to go over to the county for that. You are not required to have any kind of a public hearing; I would recommend of course that you have some public meetings to get input and explain your project and everything else. But because the public has a chance to vote they're not required to have a hearing. You do have to pick a not to exceed amount. For instance, for the ballot question, not to exceed five million. Now if it comes in and you can borrow less than that, that's great. Say you get grants, and it only costs you three million for the project then you can sell the bond for less than five million, you're not locked into that. But that is your cap. That means if you go out to bid and the project bids at 5.5 million, then we'd have to talk about running an judicial confirmation for the additional half a million or running another bond. We can only do that twice a year, November and May. That is a not to exceed number and you could come up with your own. Maybe you have some reserves, legally why you're not allowed to transfer money out of enterprise funds, you could transfer funds from the general funds into an enterprise fund if you wanted to. Just to let you know, you have to really think about that. In terms of how long the bond is for, we have the same kind of issue. If you think you're going to go through USDA or IDEQ, which is usually the best interest rate, then they want to see a forty-year bond and they require that in order. USDA does in order to give you grant money, IDEQ doesn't always, but you don't want to cut yourself out of potential funding with USDA because you do the thirty years as they require or a forty year. I would say for term, I would say not to exceed forty years. Again, if you choose not to go with USDA, then depending on who you sell the bond to cause you're not locked into a buyer until the bond is approved, then you can do less time than that if you want to. Because it's a revenue bond, it's a simple majority. Fifty percent plus one person. Of course, in the old day, meaning about a decade ago, the city would run the election. Now the county does. So now once the city approves the ordinance and puts the ballot language inside of it, hand that over to the county and they take care of it from there. I'll stop for a moment to see if there's questions you want to head in or if you got questions about what I've said so far.

**Carnahan:** Donn with Keller Associates, there's two processes, the bond election and there's also the judicial confirmation and the city has the option to pursue either option, correct?

**Bonny:** Correct, so the judicial confirmation with a bond, you can use a longer-term plan. For instance, you could get the money to project you don't really need to do for say five years. Judicial confirmation is another way to go, and I'll talk about that. If one fails, you could always do the other. But the only thing with the judicial confirmation is one, it's a little bit more expensive, the process, all the legal work it takes or just the election documents you're looking at about five hundred dollars. Now if the election is

successful, they'll be a flat fee to issue the bond and that's based on what the amount is. I can give you an estimate once we know the range of the amount. But with judicial confirmation we can only go in for projects that we can prove the city needs right now. We can't do anything for future development, we want to go buy more water rights because maybe we're going to get more development, we can't do those kinds of projects. Say, we're exceeding a phosphorus limit or something like that or you can have an MPDS permit with repeated violations, then we can go in and fix what needs to be fixed right now. It doesn't have to be broken, but again I just have to show that the need exists for the infrastructure now. The judges understand that we're not actually going to be able to build anything for three to four years, but I do have to show that the need is right now and not asking for permission to borrow money for projects that could wait. So, if the city wants to think about judicial confirmation we can get into more detail, and I can walk you through what I think can be improved by the court or would not. To circle back to the cost, to issue the bond is going to be the same regardless of whether it is approved through judicial confirmation but again the election costs about five hundred dollars to do a judicial confirmation is a full blown court hearing in which we do multiple, I end up submitting several hundred pages to the court because we do facility studies, affidavits, memorandums, so usually the cost of those are five to six thousand for that entire procedure. A lot of that we have to do very specific advertising that's display adds so usually fifteen hundred of that is just in newspaper ads. That is something to think about as well. If you do either one first, and it doesn't go through, we can always do the other one. Same thing for elections, we no longer have any kind of waiting period, so depending on what the timing is, if the election fails say in November, you can make a decision whether or not to go to judicial confirmation or try to rerun an election in May. We could go straight to judicial confirmation. I would feel confident once we went through the project, what I tell you that I think could be confirmed should not be a problem. What you could do is divide up your needs and go in with judicial confirmation with the stuff that has to be done now, say that's two million dollars' worth of stuff, and then in May run an election for your kind of three million in future projects and I'm just making up numbers here as an example.

**Mayor White:** Questions?

**Carnahan:** So, the elections, you said was in November and May, but the judicial confirmation can occur in any time period?

**Bonny:** That is correct. You're looking at, depending on the courts and the timing, it takes usually between three to five months for the judicial confirmation. Three months is if I can get a court date fairly quickly. A lot of that time period comes just from knowing prices. What happens is, we have to have a public hearing before the council and that requires fifteen days' notice to be published. Then you have to wait a minimum of two weeks depending on when you want to schedule a meeting to pass a resolution. Then we file the petition, I'd have the petition already so that as soon as you adopt the resolution, I can file the petition. Then I need to get a court hearing and that usually has to be a minimum of six weeks out because I need thirty days posting and then I need three weeks of publication with the last publication being from the last court hearing. Now a lot of times with smaller counties we have judges that are only coming twice a month. In which case you can see if I need six weeks out and I miss, it may take eight weeks to get a date for the judge. It's really just depending on that. So, the fastest is about three months to hit all of those if the council has a special meeting and can actually get a court date within six weeks of filing the petition, but if that takes a little bit longer, if the newspaper messes up any of the publication, because it's jurisdictional we'd have to wait for it to be fixed, we may have to schedule a hearing. I've only had that happen once so it's pretty rare. I try to really babysit the newspaper, but those are the kinds of things that are happening. So likely you're looking at three- or four-month process for a judicial confirmation.

**Thompson:** So, a bond process will be a lot quicker?

**Case:** It's a bond either way, we're going to do it via election or via judicial. I see some pros and cons either way. Because if you go judicial, it'll probably go through but your kind of bypassing your voters on something that's really going to impact the residents. But we have a more likelihood given an emergency situation of this and getting it cleared through.

**Carnahan:** The other argument though is that you go for the bond election, first it fails then you go to judicial confirmation then that makes the constituents probably even worse. If you go to judicial confirmation first and it goes through, then it's passed. It's really up to you guys, it's a sticky wicket either way, right?

**Bonny:** Part of it depends on, is your hole project actually necessary, can you divide it up. You're right, voters do want a say, but if the project you're looking at is just deep immediate needs that have to be

done then you really are disenfranchising them to go out and ask them to vote on something were as if they don't approve it and turn around and give permission anyway. So that is just as politically ugly. That's the cheaper way to go to. I can't really help too much. A lot of it is just knowing the political climate of your city and how your city feels about some things.

**Case:** Can we ask Donn questions at this point?

**Mayor White:** Sure.

**Schroeder:** Do you have anything more for Stephanie?

**Case:** Right at this second, I don't.

**Thompson:** I don't either.

**Mayor White:** Would you like her to stay on?

**Case:** Could she stay on just a couple more minutes?

**Schroeder:** Can you stay on for a few more minutes, Stephanie?

**Bonny:** Sure, I'll just put you on speaker. So, if you need me just say, "Hey Stephanie".

**Case:** So, if I understand this would just be an estimate. Do you have any idea based off of the preexisting costs, which are too old, what this might cost?

**Carnahan:** So, I do. I have hand outs that I can pass out for both the sewer and water master plans. They've gone through and looked at different scenarios and different funding options. And yes, the costs are outdated, but there's a whole gambit of options. We could go through it now, but they are quite lengthy, I would suggest handing these out and having the council look at them, have a chance to digest them. Sometimes we'll have a special city council meeting workshop, so we can sit down and go through things and really, we need to get public works involved and figure out what are the biggest priorities. In here there are different scenarios. They also say what the costs are and how much they'll increase the rates.

**Case:** So, we'll know the impact to each homeowner, resident, whatever?

**Carnahan:** Correct.

**Mayor White:** I think that's a good idea so that the council can digest it a little bit and we can put it back on the agenda at our next meeting.

**Carnahan:** They're a little bit old, but we can factor them up at least to get going and then if you decide to pursue and move forward, we can articulate the numbers more closely.

**Case:** Ok, I do have one more question for either Stephanie or Geoff.

**Schroeder:** Ok, Stephanie, we're back up.

**Case:** So, given, I'm assuming, that you or she or both have been through this process and understand political climates, is the judicial processes kind of a scalpel, just gets it done and it's the quick, as opposed to the butter knife it's going to irritate over a long period of time and maybe fail. Is there a way to know that either option is going to be palpable to the property owners? Do you have, I don't even know if you can give this kind of advice what you have found causes, I don't know how to say it. Well, we need to get the point across, and I think we should show pictures that Johnny has showed us. I think the residence would be, property owners would be appalled and afraid of what could happen if we don't take care of this. But it's a roll of the dice.

**Schroeder:** We're doing one in Parma right now. Did we do judicial confirmation or an election in Parma, Stephanie?

**Bonny:** That was judicial confirmation, I just finished one in Homedale, I'm doing one in the City of Salmon and in the City of Aberdeen right now.

**Martinez:** And they're all going straight to a judicial confirmation?

**Bonny:** All of those go straight to judicial confirmation and I want to tell you we had public hearing, cause like I said you're required to have one with the judicial confirmation. So, you would have time if we did the hearing in like July. You would have time to have the hearing for judicial confirmation and if everybody showed up and you know with pitch forks, you could still go to an election. I will tell you, like I said I do these all the time, I've done like four that I've done within the last three months, not a single person showed up at the public hearing to testify.

**Thompson:** That's probably what will happen here.

**Bonny:** Clearly the citizens weren't concerned, and no citizens have showed up at the court hearing cause you do notice and even if they don't come to the public hearing they can still show up at the court hearing and testify and nobody did that either. So, it's hard to say that Glenns Ferry, you might be different but those are smaller rural areas and all of them sailed through in all honesty.

I think the big thing is going to be, which what we need the numbers for. What is going to be the impact dollar wise to property owners. I think that's going to give us the picture.

**Schroeder:** These ideas are revenue bonds so it's a what their water and sewer rates will be. I think another thing that's important is, we had in Glenns Ferry a brush with the EPA that was not as costly as it could have been, and it was technical stuff. Failing to keep certain pieces of documentation and Jill and I worked on that response. The potential for fines, and I think this is important in communicating to rate payers that when there's something broken or on the verge of being broken in your plant and you've not taken steps to correct it, the fine basis begins with the dollar amount that you avoided by not fixing it. So, that's one way to convey to rate payers. IDEQ is dictating a phosphate level, whatever things in the plant, sewer plant aren't going well, that that's where the fine starts is what these costs of improvements would have been.

**Bonny:** And the one other thing that I didn't clarify early was, when we go through judicial confirmation, we get the project approved. We do an estimate of the cost just so the public has an idea of how big the project it is and you are not locked into borrowing a certain amount. You can borrow whatever it takes to do the project.

**Mayor White:** Unless there's more questions, we have Donn for another block of time here. We can start looking at cost and the projects and things like that.

**Case:** I appreciate Stephanie and her information.

**Bonny:** I have my phone so if something comes up Geoff can call me.

**Mayor White:** What we can do is hand out the information that Donn will have tonight and we can bring Stephanie back. We can have her on our next meeting, if you go home and think about it. I don't think this is a decision you want to make tonight.

**Case:** No.

**Thompson:** I'm not sure if I want to make it next time.

**Case:** Well, when we hear the net impact to the water payers and sewer payers, we need to know what that impact is going to be. I think we need numbers and a plan before we get to further down the road.

**Item 14. DISCUSSION/MOTION: [ACTION ITEM] iWorQ Systems for Glenns Ferry Public Works & Code Enforcement.**

**Parsons:** I asked them to be here, and they said that they would call in and they have not.

**Mayor White:** Ok. We'll postpone number fourteen motion to table.

**Thompson:** So moved.

**Case:** Second.

**Mayor White:** All in favor, all-ayes

**Item 15. DISCUSSION/MOTION: [ACTION ITEM] Donn Carnahan: Keller Associates, Inc: U89 Glenns Ferry Airport Apron & Taxiways. Grant Submitted.**

**Carnahan:** We did submit a grant for two hundred and fifty thousand dollars for the apron and taxiways to complete that work. Good news there is they did receive some additional funding from wherever and so the last project was a fifty percent match, looks like this one will be a zero to a ten percent match depending on how many applications and far it will go. They don't know yet, but it looks pretty positive in that regard. We should know hopefully in a month or so and go from there.

**Thompson:** What do you think our chances are?

**Carnahan:** I'm very optimistic that they've got quite a bit more money and they were pretty positive.

**Mayor White:** Is there any questions about what's going on out there?

**Thompson:** I seen the crop dusters out there. I wonder if there's been any comment on our new airport.

**Mayor White:** Not to me, but I'll see them tomorrow night.

**Item 16. DISCUSSION/MOTION: [ACTION ITEM] Mayor White: Adopt Ordinance. Amending Chapter 5, Title 5 of the Glenns Ferry City Code, by Removing Section 5-5-1 Relating to Concealed Weapons and Renumbering the Remaining Section as 5-5-1. (ROLL CALL VOTE)**

**Case:** Ordinance adoption, I move that in accordance with the Idaho Code 50-902 the council dispense with the rule amending Chapter 5 Title 5 of the Glenns Ferry City Code by removing Section 5-5-1 relating to concealed weapons and renumbering the remaining section as 5-5-1 by title only so the council may consider its adoption at this meeting.

**Martinez:** I'll second.

(ROLL CALL VOTE)

**Case:** Aye

**Martinez:** Aye

**Thompson:** Aye

**Case:** I move that this ordinance, the title of which reads an ordinance on the City of Glenns Ferry Idaho amending Chapter 5 Title 5 of the Glenns Ferry City Code by removing Section 5-5-1 relating to concealed weapons and renumbering the remaining section as 5-5-1; providing for severability: repealing all ordinances, resolutions and orders inconsistent with this ordinance; providing for codification; providing for publication by summary; and providing an effective date be adopted.

**Martinez:** I'll second.

**(ROLL CALL VOTE)**

**Case:** Aye

**Martinez:** Aye

**Thompson:** Aye

**Schroeder:** Teresa, before you submit to the paper will you correct the typo that it says on instead of the word of?

**Item 17. DISCUSSION/MOTION: [ACTION ITEM] DEPARTMENT/COMMUNITTEE MEMBER REPORT:**

**A. Sheriff Office – Sheriff Hollinshead/Lieutenant Burnett:**

**Burnett:** I understand that the month of April statistic numbers were given to you guys, so we'll just go with May. We had a total of sixty-five events down in the City of Glenns Ferry, thirty-four were calls to service; those are again calls that people have called in and we've responded, thirty-one events were self-initiated; whether they're extra patrols in areas, walking through the school, motor assist, traffic stops, suspicious vehicles, persons, businesses, that stuff and then we pulled eight criminal case numbers in the month of May. The other thing that I was asked to do is touch on, we're into a very busy time of year this summer, schools out, a lot of events are coming up this weekend at the fairgrounds with he Fur Trade Expo. We are going to have people down for that. Just due to the nature of what that show is the climate of environmentalists. There's also have Fourth of July coming up, the All-School Reunion, the fair, we really want events to get their own security due to our lack of manpower at this point. However, any county or city organized event we obviously will definitely support that. There will also be a street dance that has a huge impact on things so we will definitely be involved on that. Like the fairgrounds and what not we're going to put it back on them to have their own type of security there. We will obviously still respond to emergency calls or to support that. Again, anything organized by the city, any events that come up, we will definitely be on board and support that. Any questions on the numbers?

**Thompson:** How short are you?

**Burnett:** It's a matter of, we have only one open position and we're I the process of hiring, but where we have people out with National Guard deployments, people have family emergency's come up and they're out for an extended amount of time, we're about to lose one off the road cause he's about ready to have his first child. So, it's just a busy time of year where people are coming and going. Most cases we're running two deputies per shift is what we're managing. Again, for the Fourth of July, Labor Day, the fair, we're trying to make sure we have the funding for the big and needed.

**B. Fire Chief – Derik Janousek:**

**Janousek:** Nothing new in my world. Kind of quiet. Just training. Climbing on buildings, pulling hose....

**C. City Engineer – Keller Associates, Inc. – Donn Carnahan, PE:**

**Carnahan:** With the water and sewer there's two separate packages there's a lot of different scenarios, different rate increases if you get a chance to look at it and digest it then maybe we can move back around with updates. Get a much better idea. If you have any questions give me a call.

**D. Public Works – Scott Nichols:**

**Nichols:** Nothing much to report on my end, other than I just entered into a new position. It's been slightly hectic. Thank goodness Johnny did a great job so it's a seamless transition. I attended an IRWA conference just prior to Johnny leaving. We finally got our results back from our water leak on Cleveland Street where we had to ask the individuals to boil their water until we got back with them, everything was good there. We haven't had any issues with e coli spikes. That's about it for now.

**E. Clerk/Treasurer – Teresa Parsons:**

**Parsons:** I have training next week, and we are about ready to start the budget.

**F. Development – Christy Acord: ABSENT**

**G. Librarian – Jennifer Trail:**

**Trail:** Things are going well. We started our summer programs last week, with the kiddos and the adults and it seems to be going well. They've been all outside. It's been busy. We've had sixty-one people in today. So, kids in different programs, it's a lot, it's usually not that many. So, things are going well. Working on large grant. It's focused on community services and trying to help the community recover from the pandemic.

**Thompson:** Didn't you, in the past, have a thing up in the city park?

**Trail:** Yes, this year we're going to go to a different location each week. So, tomorrow for the month of June I'll be at the skate park, state park, museum, city park with an activity that's tied to that area.

**Mayor White:** I would encourage you to drop in and go into the library, it looks clean, nice, organized. Very impressive.

H. **Animal Control** – Dena Marchant: ABSENT

**Mayor White:** Dena's not here tonight, but Dena put in her two-week notice. She'll be moving out of the area. We will be discussing our options on what we're going to do with our animal control position. I don't think there's any doubt that we're probably going to have to have animal control. Any suggestions, please contact me.

I. **Airport Manager** – Justin Wootan: ABSENT

**Mayor White:** We've got the airport advisory meeting tomorrow. The agenda is out, so you guys can look at it if you want to look at that agenda to see what we're doing.

J. **Historical Museum** – Donna Carnahan: ABSENT

K. **Planning & Zoning** – Kt Carpenter: ABSENT

**Item 18. MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS:**

**Thompson:** I don't agree with tearing that building down, I'm sorry.

**Mayor White:** That'll be on another agenda. For your information we had a, Scott maybe you can explain it better than me.

**Nichols:** We had a small mishap over at, we're not one hundred percent sure the building is on the docket is a historical building in the state of Idaho. It has been here for twenty years or more. The backhoe was removing an implement from that building. As good as lit looked on the inside that roof was gone. So, anything inside that storage was on the roof being completely ruined. Removed the implement and the backhoe bucket caught the edge of the wooded door. The doors do not open in this direction they go swing straight up which limits the height of anything that gets in it. Not making any excuses, the backhoe bucket was nicked onto the door and the front side of the building is sitting on the ground. It is a safety hazard and concern for the citizens especially, the children now that they're out of school and we have it flagged off from detouring them from going in, but kids break rules. It's a safety hazard and I would really like the council to re-evaluate whether or not that building needs to come the rest of the way down. I don't think it is in our budget to repair something that age.

**Janousek:** The mortar's shot.

**Mayor White:** So, the reason it's not on the agenda tonight, for you guys to make a decision if it needs to go, is that we're still evaluating the options to try to figure out which way we want to advise you. I sent that report out to you, I think you all got a copy of it.

**Case:** Is that normal to use the bucket for support?

**Nichols:** It wasn't for support; it was for holding the door up by a chain and in this case it is normal.

**Case:** Ok.

**Martinez:** I would just like to set up another meeting to go over the budget stuff for the bond so we can talk about it.

**Mayor White:** Ya, we'll put it on the next meeting. You guys take a look at the paperwork and give you time if you have some questions.

**Thompson:** I want us to understand what we're doing here.

**Case:** I'd like to have all the meetings, get our questions in order and then have the attorneys in. As opposed to, paying them over and over while we hash stuff out.

**Item 19. ADJOURN: [ACTION ITEM]**

**Case:** I motion we adjourn.

**Thompson:** Yes.

**Mayor White:** All in favor, all-eyes.

Approved by the City Council: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Monty R. White - Mayor

Attest: \_\_\_\_\_  
Teresa Parsons - Clerk/Treasurer

DRAFT